

# Asymptotic Accuracy of the Equilibrium-Diffusion Approximation

Jim Ferguson and Jim E. Morel

Texas A&M University  
College Station, Texas 77843, USA

HEDLA2012 Tallahassee FL, May 2, 2012

# Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Asymptotic Procedure
- 3 Comoving-Frame Diffusion Model
- 4 Lab-Frame Diffusion Model
- 5 Comparison of Model Accuracy
- 6 Conclusions

# Background

- Non-relativistic equilibrium-diffusion is a fundamental limit of non-relativistic radiation-hydrodynamics.
- It is associated with optically-thick media, strong radiation-material coupling, and non-relativistic material speeds.
- An asymptotic expansion of the non-relativistic radiation-hydrodynamic (NRRH) solution has previously been shown to satisfy the non-relativistic equilibrium-diffusion (NRED) equations to leading-order (Lowrie, Morel, and Hittinger).
- In the current work, we have shown that this asymptotic expansion of the NRRH solution satisfies the NRED equations to first-order with an  $O(\epsilon^2)$  error.

# The Asymptotic Procedure

- Start with NRRH equations coupled to fully relativistic lab-frame transport equation and non-dimensionalize these equations.
- Identify non-dimensional parameters of interest and scale these by positive or negative powers of a small parameter  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &\equiv \frac{v_\infty}{c} \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ \mathcal{R} &\equiv \frac{\rho_\infty u_\infty^2}{a_r T_\infty^4} \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{L} &\equiv \frac{\ell_\infty}{\lambda_{t,\infty}} \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1}) \\ \mathcal{L}_s &\equiv \frac{\lambda_{t,\infty}}{\lambda_{s,\infty}} \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

# The Asymptotic Procedure

- Equate terms multiplying each power of  $\varepsilon$  and manipulate the resulting equations to find closed equations for the unknowns in the power-series expansion.
- The first-order expansion to the NRRH solution,  $U_0 + \varepsilon U_1$ , satisfies the NRED equations, but the second-order expansion does not.

# Why This is Important

- Radiation-hydrodynamics models and discretizations should preserve the asymptotic accuracy of the NRRH equations in the NRED limit.
- Discretizations and models that are not asymptotic preserving to leading-order are usually impractical for calculations that are strongly asymptotic due to the need for arbitrarily excessive mesh resolution.
- Discretizations and models that are partially asymptotic preserving will not be as accurate as they should be for calculations that are strongly asymptotic.

# Why This is Important

- For instance, the Wilson flux limiter for radiative diffusion,

$$D = 1 / (3\sigma_t + \|\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{E}\| / \mathcal{E}) ,$$

is known to limit too strongly.

- This can be explained by the fact that it preserves the NRED limit to leading order but not to first order, i.e., the solution departs from diffusion with order  $\varepsilon$  instead of order  $\varepsilon^2$  (Morel).
- In contrast, the Larsen limiter,

$$D = 1 / \sqrt{(9\sigma_t^2 + [\|\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{E}\| / \mathcal{E}]^2)} ,$$

preserves the NRED limit to first-order and is more accurate in general than the Wilson limiter (Morel).

# Comoving-Frame Diffusion Model

- Start with comoving-frame energy and momentum equations.
- Assume  $\mathcal{P}_{r,0} = \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{E}_{r,0}$ .
- Obtain Fick's Law by setting certain terms to zero.

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_0 - \partial_i \left( \frac{c}{3\sigma_t} \partial_i \mathcal{E}_0 \right) + \partial_i (v_i \mathcal{E}_0) + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{E}_0 \partial_i v_i = c \sigma_a (aT^4 - \mathcal{E}_0) \quad ,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{0,i} = -\frac{c}{3\sigma_t} \partial_i \mathcal{E}_0 \quad .$$



# Lab-Frame Diffusion Model

- Start with lab-frame energy and momentum equations.
- Assume  $\mathcal{P}_r = \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{E}_r$ .
- Set the time-derivative of the radiation flux to zero.

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} - \partial_i \frac{c}{3\sigma_t} \partial_i \mathcal{E} + \partial_i \left\{ v_i \left[ \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{E} + \frac{\sigma_a}{\sigma_t} (aT^4 - \mathcal{E}_0) \right] \right\} =$$

$$c\sigma_a (aT^4 - \mathcal{E}_0) - \frac{\sigma_t}{c} v_i \mathcal{F}_{0,i}.$$

$$\mathcal{F}_i = -\frac{c}{3\sigma_t} \partial_i \mathcal{E} + v_i \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{E} + v_i \frac{\sigma_a}{\sigma_t} (aT^4 - \mathcal{E}_0).$$

# Comparison of Model Accuracy

- The energy and momentum equations in the comoving- and lab-frames are equivalent, i.e., they transform into one another neglecting higher-order  $v_i/c$  terms.
- The diffusion approximations in the comoving- and lab-frames are fundamentally different, i.e., they do not transform into one another.
- It has been suggested that the lab-frame approximation should be fundamentally flawed because the radiation intensity *does not* become isotropic in the lab-frame.
- However, our asymptotic analyses show that both approximations, when coupled to the Euler equations, preserve the NRED limit to first order.
- This indicates that they are equally valid in the NRED limit.

# Conclusions

- Knowledge of the asymptotic accuracy of the NRED approximation enable us to fully evaluate the accuracy of radiation-hydrodynamics models and discretizations in the NRED limit.
- Failure to preserve the accuracy of the NRED limit can lead to models and discretizations with significant flaws.